

J Med Libr Assoc. Apr;105(2): dx.doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2017.201

© McKeown, Konrad, McTavish, Boyce 2017

Evaluation of hospital staff's perceived quality of librarian-mediated literature searching services

Sandra McKeown, MLIS; Shauna-Lee Konrad, MLIS; Jill McTavish, PhD, MLIS; Erin Boyce, MLIS

APPENDIX

Literature search evaluation survey

The purpose of this survey is to gauge the perceived quality of the search results and literature search service provided by clinical librarians at the London Health Sciences Centre (LHSC).

The survey will take less than 5 minutes to complete, and you will be compensated with a \$5.00 LHSC meal card, generously funded by a grant from the Canadian Health Libraries Association/Association des bibliothèques de la santé du Canada.

When answering, please consider only the literature search referenced in the email that provided you the survey link.

Your thoughtful participation is greatly appreciated and will contribute to the improvement of the literature searching service at the LHSC Health Sciences Library. Your responses will be kept completely anonymous and will **not** be attached to personal identifiers.

Questions or concerns about the survey may be directed to: LitSearchEvaluationStudy@lhsc.on.ca.

 What best describes your professional designation? (Check only one.) Allied health professional Nurse Physician Not applicable Other (please specify)
2. What best describes your role/position at LHSC? (Check all that apply.) O Clinical staff O Leadership (coordinator, manager, etc.) O Program coordinator/educator/facilitator O Consultant/analyst O Researcher O Resident/Fellow O Technologist O Scientist O Student O Other (please specify)

3. Approximately how many searches have you requested from the library in the past 12

months, including this search request? (Please provide a numeric value.)



O 75%-100%

Supplemental content to J Med Libr Assoc. Apr;105(2): dx.doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2017.201

www.mlanet.org © McKeown, Konrad, McTavish, Boyce 2017

4. Did you search for literature yourself before requesting this search?O UnsureO YesO No
5. What was the <i>primary</i> purpose for requesting this search? (Check only one.) O Patient education O Clinical care of a particular patient O Patient care in general O Inform a policy or standard practice O Research or publication O For teaching or training O Other (please specify)
6. How did you <i>initially</i> provide the details of this search request to the librarian? O Email O Literature search request form (online or print) O Voice mail O Telephone conversation O Face-to-face conversation
7. After the initial request, did any follow-up communication occur with the librarian to clarify details of this search request? O Unsure O Yes O No
8. How satisfied are you with the librarian's interpretation of this search request? O Not at all satisfied O Slightly satisfied O Moderately satisfied O Very satisfied O Extremely satisfied
9. How do you feel about the number of search results received? O Too many O Too few O Just right
10. Approximately what percentage of the search results was relevant to your topic? O 0-24% O 25%-49% O 50%-74%



Supplemental content to J Med Libr Assoc. Apr;105(2): dx.doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2017.201

www.mlanet.org © McKeown, Konrad, McTavish, Boyce 2017

11. Are you aware not (e.g., articles, ground O Unsure O Yes		ire that you expecte	d to see in the search	results, but did		
12. Were you satisf O Unsure O Yes O No (Please expla	ied with the layout/ in.)	format of your sear	ch results?			
13. Were you satisf O Unsure O Yes O No (Please expla		ions on how to obta	nin the full text of sea	rch results?		
14. What was the most important aspect of this search request? (Check only one.) O Relevance of search results O Currency of search results O Receiving the best level of evidence available O Comprehensiveness of search results O Turnaround time of search results O Other (Please specify.)						
O Relevance of sear O Currency of sear O Receiving the be	rch results ch results st level of evidence a less of search results e of search results	vailable	nt? (Check all that ap	oply.)		
Quality of search r	esults: These 5 ques	stions pertain to the	e literature search re	sults.		
16. How would you Poor O	a rate the usefulness Fair O	of the search result Good O	s you received? Very good O	Excellent O		
17. How satisfied a Not at all satisfied	•	ge of publication dat Moderately satisfied	tes in the search result d Very satisfied E	Its you received? xtremely satisfied		



Supplemental content to J Med Libr Assoc. Apr;105(2): dx.doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2017.201

www.mlanet.org © McKeown, Konrad, McTavish, Boyce 2017

18. How satisfied at (e.g., reviews, guide		blication types included	d in the search r	esults you received
` U		Moderately satisfied O	Very satisfied O	Extremely satisfied O
19. Overall, how wo	ould you rate the c	quality of the search res	ults you receive	d?
Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent
Ο	O	Ο	O	O
20. Please provide s	suggestions for ho	w your search results co	ould have been i	mproved.
Quality of service:	These 6 questions	s pertain to the literatu	re search service	e.
	•	curnaround time of the		
Not at all satisfied	Slightly satisfied	Moderately satisfied	Very satisfied	Extremely satisfied
О	Ο	О	O	Ο
22. How satisfied w librarian (e.g., datal		comprehensiveness of the	he resources sea	rched by the
Not at all satisfied O	Slightly satisfied O	Moderately satisfied O	Very satisfied O	Extremely satisfied O
23. How satisfied w	vere you with the l	ibrarian's explanation (of the search resu	ılts?
Not at all satisfied	Slightly satisfied	Moderately satisfied	Very satisfied	Extremely satisfied
O	Ö	Ŏ	O	Ó
24. How satisfied w	vere you with you	r interactions with the l	ibrarian?	
Not at all satisfied	Slightly satisfied	Moderately satisfied	Very satisfied	Extremely satisfied
O	O	Ö	О	Ö
25. How likely are y	you to recommend	l the literature search se	ervice to others?	
Extremely unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Extremely likely
O	Ο	О	O	О
	•	quality of the literature st to when you received		•
Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent
O	O	0	0	0
27. Please provide s	00	w your experience with	our literature s	earching service